## Virtual University of Pakistan

## **Assessment Team Report**

**Department Name: Management Science** 

**Program Name: MS Business Administration** 

## **Criteria Referenced Evaluation**

	Poor performance in most of the areas.	Fair performance in most of the areas.	Good performance for most areas / No poor performance in any areas.	Good to excellent performance in all areas.	Excellent performance in all areas.	Score
Criterion 1			✓			3.17
Criterion 2		✓				12.00
Criterion 3				✓		7.33
Criterion 4		✓				6.00
Criterion 5			✓			9.82
Criterion 6		✓				12.00
Criterion 7			✓			6.67
Criterion 8		✓				5.00

Criterion 1 - Program Mission, Objectives and Outcomes			Wei	ght =	0.05
Factors Score	ı	ı		ı	
Does the Program have documented measureable objectives that support faculty / college and institution mission statements?	5	4	<b>✓</b>	2	1
2 Does the Program have documented outcomes for graduating students?	5	1	3	2	1
3 Do these outcomes support the Program objectives?		4	3	1	1
4 Are the graduating students capable of performing these outcomes?		~	3	2	1
5 Does the department assess its overall performance periodically using quantifiable measures?	5	4	3	1	1
6 Is the result of the Program Assessment documented?		1	3	2	1
Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	12	3	4	
Score 1 (S1) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			3.17		
riterion 2 - Curriculum Design and Organization				ght =	0.2
Factors Score					
1 Is the curriculum consistent?	5	4	/	2	
2 Does the curriculum support the program's documented objectives?	5	4	1	2	
Are theoretical background, problem analysis and solution design stressed within the	5	4	/	2	
program's core material?  Does the curriculum satisfy the core requirements laid down by respective accreditation	5	~	3	2	
bodies? (refer Appendix A of the Self Assessment Manual)  Does the curriculum satisfy the major requirements laid down by HEC and the	5	/	3	2	
respective councils / accreditation bodies?  Does the curriculum satisfy the general education, arts and professional and other		100	3 ✓		
discipline requirements as laid down by the respective / accreditation bodies /	5	4	150	2	
7 Is the information technology component integrated throughout the program?	5	4	3	2	1
8 Are oral and written skills of the students developed and applied in the program?	5	4	~	2	
Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	8	15	0	
Score 2 (S2) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			12.00		
iterion 3 – Laboratories and Computing Facilities			Wei	ght =	0.
Factors Score					
Are laboratory manuals / documentation / instructions etc. for experiments available and readily accessible to faculty and students?	5	4	~	2	
Are there adequate number of support personnel for instruction and maintaining the laboratories?	5	~	3	2	
Are the university's infrastructure and facilities adequate to support the program objectives?	5	<b>Y</b>	3	2	
Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	8	3	0	
Score 3 (S3) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			7.33		
iterion 4 – Student Support and Advising			Wei	ght =	0.
Factors Score					
Are the courses being offered in sufficient frequency and number for the students to complete the program in a timely manner?	5	4	~	2	
2 Are the courses in the major area structured to optimize interaction between the students, faculty and teaching assistants?	5	4	~	2	
3 Does the university provide academic advising on course decisions and career choices to all students?	5	4	~	2	
Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	0	9	0	
			6.00		

Criterion 5 - Process Control			Wei	ght =	0.15
Factors Score					
Is the process to enroll students to a program based on quantitative and qualitative criteria?	5	~	3	2	1
Is the process above clearly documented and periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	5	4	1	2	1
Is the process to register students in the program and monitoring their progress documented?	5	4	3	1	1
4 Is the process above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?		4	3	<b>✓</b>	1
5 Is the process to recruit and retain faculty in place and documented?		~	3	2	1
Are the processes for faculty evaluation & promotion consistent with the institution mission?	5	~	3	2	1
Are the processes in 5 and 6 above periodically evaluated to ensure that they are meeting their objectives?	5	~	3	2	1
Do the processes and procedures ensure that teaching and delivery of course material emphasize active learning and that course learning outcomes are met?	5	4	~	2	1
Is the process in 8 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	5	4	~	2	1
Is the process to ensure that graduates have completed the requirements of the program base on standards and documented procedures?	5	~	3	2	1
Is the process in 10 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	5	4	~	2	1
Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	20	12	4	0
Score 5 (S5) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =		9.82			
Criterion 6 - Faculty			Wei	ght =	0.20
Factors Score					
Are there enough full time faculty members to provide adequate coverage of the program areas / courses with continuity and stability?	5	~	3	2	1
Are the qualifications and interests of faculty members sufficient to teach all courses, plan, modify and update courses and curricula?	5	4	1	2	1
Do the faculty members posses a level of competence that would be obtained through graduate work in the discipline?	5	4	~	2	1
4 Do the majority of faculty members hold a PhD degree in their discipline?	5	4	3	<b>V</b>	1
Do faculty members dedicate sufficient time to research to remain current in their disciplines?	5	4	3	<b>V</b>	1
6 Are there mechanisms in place for faculty development?	5	~	3	2	1
7 Are faculty members motivated and satisfied so as to excel in their profession?	5	4	~	2	1
Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	8	9	4	0
			12.00		
Score 6 (S6) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =					0.10
Score 6 (S6) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			Weig	ght =	0.10
			Wei	ght =	0.10
Score 6 (S6) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight = Criterion 7 – Institutional Facilities	5	~	Weig	ght = 2	1
Score 6 (S6) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =  Criterion 7 - Institutional Facilities  Factors Score  1 Does the institution have the infrastructure to support new trends such as e-learning?  2 Does the library contain technical collection relevant to the program and is it adequately staffed?		4			
Score 6 (S6) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =  Criterion 7 - Institutional Facilities  Factors Score  Does the institution have the infrastructure to support new trends such as e-learning?  Does the library contain technical collection relevant to the program and is it adequately	,	100	3	2	1
Score 6 (S6) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =  Criterion 7 - Institutional Facilities  Factors Score  Does the institution have the infrastructure to support new trends such as e-learning?  Does the library contain technical collection relevant to the program and is it adequately staffed?  Are the class rooms and offices adequately equipped and capable of helping faculty	5	4	3	2	1 1

Criterion 8 - Institutional Support				Weig	ght =	0.10
Factors Score						
1	Is there sufficient support and finances to attract and retain high quality faculty?	5	4	~	2	1
2	Are there an adequate number of high quality graduate students, teaching assistants and Ph.D students?	5	4	3	~	1
Total Encircled Value (TV)		0	0	3	2	0
Score 8 (S8) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =				5.00		

OVERALL ASSESSMENT SCORE =	S1 + S2 + S3 + S4 + S5 + S6 + S7 +S8
	$= \frac{3.17 + 12.00 + 7.33 + 6.00 + 9.82 +}{12.00 + 6.67 + 5.00}$

<sub>=</sub> 61.98 / 100

## **Comments:**

The areas marked with 2 are either not provided in the report or not clearly mentioned in the report. The report asks for faculty publ